



12^{èmes} Conférences stratégiques annuelle

La France, la communauté internationale et la paix au Proche-Orient

(6 et 7 mars 2007 - Maison de la Chimie, Paris)

Discours de Talal Okal, Directeur général à la Direction de Planification, Ministère de l'Information palestinien

This conference has a magnificent importance equally alike the host country and its agenda or the seasoned participants, enjoyed with long and direct experience of the subject of the conference and their standing roles in their communities.

While this conference has a prospective value, then this value will be doubled and transferred to an eminent power if the decision makers of the local governments and the international and regional organizations and the political influential figures can make use of the ideas, conclusions and suggestions that will be raised and deliberated.

I still believe that the int'l community, meaning the major int'l payers and the UN according to their special strategies have played apart in prolonging of the Middle East conflict, in which the Palestinian case and the Israeli - Arab conflict come into its potential core that yielding heavy causalities and threaten the security and stability of the region, breaking out wars and armed clashes that disrupted the possibilities and mechanism of development and the modernization of the Scio-economic infrastructures of the states of the region let alone the misuse of funds and grinding down of energies.

Four issues could be handled here:

First: Handling this conflict after the cold-war and until the collapse of the communism was subjected to contradicted international considerations and nurtured the conflict with means and motivations for more prolonged time. The international parties couldn't find a consensus on the ways of insuring their interests in the region that is acceptable for all.

The second: manipulations of this conflict after the Gulf crisis II in 1991 and the beginning of the new global system were also subjected to wrong considerations and unsuitable basis and the related mechanisms as well.

The UN and its resolutions as the basis, and as being the best frame for achieving the interests of the int'l community including the main international players was replaced by the U.S hegemony over the Middle east conflict and the private initiatives as a basis, the bilateral negotiations and separating negotiating tracks with Israel as a mechanism.

The situation, with the support of the regional powers in particular Israel was reflecting again the American willing for monopolizing the hegemony and put in priority achieving its interests regardless of the interests of the region, but even at their expenses.

If the region is still away from achieving a just and last peace till now shrouded with the language of violence and conflict, the US continued ignorance to the interests of the key international players such as

Europe and Russia in this region, that all have a common share with, it will lead sooner or later to emergence of additional stumbles by some or all international players.

Cognizant to this fact, the international initiatives should be set off to handle the conflict, principally springboard from recognition the right of other in partnership and achieve their interests the need to recognize the role of entire official Arab system interests.

Third; the international move in handling the conflict that starts in 1948 that witnesses the declaration of Israel state and totally ignore the deep seeds of the conflict that traced back to pre world's war I, come about more enhancement of colonial strategies and interests and to end the so- called Jewish problem in compliance to the perspectives of concerned states in terms of their direct or indirect benefits.

Such handlings were politically motivated to handle the Israeli occupation of the West Bank including Jerusalem and Gaza Strip in June 1967 and handle the results off such war not the core of the problem and spur the negotiation process on the basis fait accompli created by Israel through Judiazing Jerusalem, ongoing settlement activities in the west bank , in addition to the lands confiscated in behalf of building the separation wall, which the int'l court of Justice in Hague advised its illegality and UN General Assembly of the UN decided the same. According to the aspects of the conflict and UN resolutions, it should be recognized primarily that the just handling have to base on the principle of how UN resolutions could be implemented instead of being subjugated into deliberations and negotiations and that fact Israel takes over more than 78% of historic Palestine and Israel will take due to the reconciliations more privileges resulted in a forswear of conflict, hold up its state and its legitimacy, rather than the realization of integration into its Arab environ, all these issues attach quite importance.

Israel is unable and will never succeed in asserting legitimacy of its existence by military power through power balances, and will never succeed in imposing its outlook for reconciliation and achieve what it sees as its vital interests if all of that was at the expenses of the Palestinians and the Arab aspirations and interests.

Israel and the international community tried the inconvincible and unjust peace path and also it tried to use all forms of destruction, aggression, and killing. Even though 14 years has passed on signing Oslo accord neither Israel nor the int'l community succeed in achieving the willing goals at minimum.

Palestinians and the Arabs have the right to demand the int'l community and the U.N to implement the second part of the partition resolution 181 in 1947 as that the international community succeeded, in establishing the state of Israel, the international community is supposed to succeed in establishing a Palestinian state.

Fourth, working on steering ahead development wheel, modernization of economic, political and social infrastructures of the Arab societies in particular the Palestinian one and develop the role of the civil society, would be very difficult in the light of ongoing conflict, risks of war, and instability. In this connection also the role of mass media will be limited and related to the reality and given facts in the field. Whatever the media independency and neutrality , great events took place anywhere else, even in the middle east , assert that discourses about media role and mass communications are independents from decision-making process is a counterfeit. Mass media is interrelated with its basic task ; knowledge dissemination, report information and follow-up the event, although we acknowledge that mass media can contribute effectively in event-making, steer development wheel and disseminate cultures and human civilizations.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Nearly 30 years passed after signing Camp David Agreement between Egypt -Israel and 13 years after signing Wadi Araba Agreement between Jordan Israel, Israel pulled out from Lebanon, but Lebanon, Egypt and Jordan are still inside the conflict circle.

Fourteen years passed for signing Oslo accord but peace and tranquility have yet achieved the anatomy of

peace and its synonyms are commonly used in the culture of the region.

The wrong policies of the international community wasted a lot of time, and all parties, either directly involved in the conflict or parties concerned, sustained blatant losses and very serious international and regional changes took place and worsened the phenomenon of extremism and terrorism as that the entire region is going through a wave of growing sectarian and religious prejudice and to the possibilities of a up-flare of civil war and dividing some regional contiguities.

In this context, it is worthwhile to appreciate the premature awareness of the president Chirac in the aftermath of condemned explosions in London and New York in Sep 2001, when he said success in fighting terrorism prompts fighting its causes and motivations including handling the Palestinian, Arab-Israel conflict in addition to other world's phenomenon afflicted too many nations.

But the USA's extravagant view in terms of the means of achieving its interests motivated it to launch an abject war in Afghanistan and Iraq under the pretexts of fighting terrorism and encouraged Israel later to attack Lebanon for the same pretext while Israel continued its war against the Palestinian people, recalling the same pretext and with American cover, though it was possible to achieve much more of interests by other means.

The American wars in the region exposed the security and stability of the area to a long-range danger and growing extremist phenomenon. The reason of that is misunderstanding of the depth and stiffness of the national identity and the difficulty of piercing it and dealing with it through violence, intimidation and destructive means.

The American wars and the desire of hegemony led to the disturbance among the components of the Arabs' National identity based on history, culture, civilization, religion, and geography, a matter that caused the predominance of theocracy's motion, which brings all consequent foreseeable risks.

The American administration that ignored all the balanced European initiatives for solving the Palestinian and Arab-Israeli conflict, started at last to understand some lessons not absolutely all, that stemmed from the reactions towards its unwillingness to achieve success in Iraq not, of course, from its convictions of the important findings drawn by the Baker-Himlton group.

The enthusiasm has been sounded by the American administration recently to get more involvement in Palestinian-Israeli peace profile and its endeavors to resurrect the unilateral covert and overt negotiations and showing flexibility over the possibility to surpass the current thorny primary phase of the Road Map and jump directly to Final status settlement issues and opening political horizon for a viable contiguous Palestinian state, all these revelations do not reflect an authentic and comprehensive understanding for fruitful results.

In a bold and rare statement, EU High Representative for Common Foreign and Security Policy Javier Solana during his latest visit to Israeli and Palestinian territories on 21 January 2007, he said that the time has come to move from crisis management to solve the crisis.

In a precise and concise sentence, Mr. Solana summarized the role of the international community and the responsibilities it should be burdened during the previous stages, a role featured by negativity, weakness and default but are the proposals set forwarded by the international Quartet prompt our satisfaction that the international community kicks off a new stage of business to realize an equitable, comprehensive and everlasting peace?

With deep conviction and unfortunately, I'd like to tell your excellence that peace with no implementation of the UN resolutions and no effective involvement by political powers locally and internationally under the umbrella of the United Nations, will never be an equitable peace and impermanent.

However, the international community in co-operation and coordination with Israel and perhaps with the

consent of a Palestinian team, could impose on the Palestinians a form of peace but the Palestinian people will never accept partition peace and will resist it in particular that partition peace based on a fait accompli imposed by Israel by Judaizing and isolating Jerusalem, building Apartheid wall, ongoing settlement activities and dismemberment of West Bank and cut it off Gaza Strip , such a form of peace will never terminate the fuels of clashes.

When Israel had implemented its unilateral disengagement plan from Gaza in Sep 2005 , too many believed that it would drain out Gaza Strip population from direct conflict but what happen in the ground beg difference to the Israeli willing.

In this case and once again and out of courtesy to French guests, I believe the initiative by the President Jacques Chirac to convene an international peace conference in the middle east is an idea promote the need for change and rebuild the peace process; mechanism and frameworks . it is an idea reflect the righteous outlooks to ways of international, regional and Israeli meaningful partnership, lay the foundation for a new stage brings with it everlasting peace based on justice and serve the interests of active parties internationally.

The Palestinian people, who had gone through a commendable and impressive democratic experience, do not deserve from the international community to deny his right to choose and being liable to collective aid embargo, a thing never subjugate to despite its conducts through the history of conflict that makes it eligible to such punishments.

After a long-term conflict and Palestinian internecine fighting that bring with it countless risks not only limited to the Palestinians, the Palestinians made a progress in forming a unity government and they are ready to move to the active political action to live up with the international and Arab initiatives related to peace process but the behavior of the international community towards such a government could obstruct the experience or push it forward in the direction of effective contribution in polarizing convenient political solutions for everlasting and just peace.

It is a must to offer feasible initiatives to assure the international community freedom and its commitment, starting from coercing Israel, if not convinced, to stop settlement activities, halt building the Apartheid wall and deformation of Jerusalem's features and judiazing it.

The international community commitment with a mechanism of middle east peace conference in accordance to UN resolutions and in terms of parallel negotiation tracks , is to a great extent an commitment to the strife for returning back a reputable role of the United Nation considering that it's World's government and in order to establish a multiple- power world's regime and end USA supremacy and hegemony and monopolizing interests.